16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1 KAZEROUNI LAW GROUP, APC Abbas Kazerounian Esq, (SBN: 249203) 2 ak@kazlg.com 3 2700 N. Main Street, Suite 1000 Santa Ana, CA 92705 4 Telephone: (800) 400-6808 5 Facsimile: (800) 520-5523 6 Joshua B. Swigart, Esq. (SBN: 225557) josh@westcoastlitigation.com 7 **Hyde & Swigart** 411 Camino Del Rio South, Suite 301 8 San Diego, CA 92108-3551 9 Telephone: (619) 233-7770 Facsimile: (619) 297-1022 10 11 Attorneys for the Plaintiff 12 13 14

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ERIK KNUTSON,	CASE NUMBER: '12CV0964 CAB DHB			
INDIVIDUALLY AND ON BEHALF OF ALL OTHERS SIMILARLY SITUATED,	CLASS ACTION			
PLAINTIFFS, V.	COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF PURSUANT TO THE			
SCHWAN'S HOME SERVICE, INC., DEFENDANT.	TELEPHONE CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT, 47 U.S.C § 227 ET SEQ.			
DEFERDANT.	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED			

Introduction

1. ERIK KNUTSON ("Plaintiff") brings this class action for damages, injunctive relief, and any other available legal or equitable remedies, resulting from the illegal actions of

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

SCHWAN'S HOME SERVICE, INC., and its related entities, subsidiaries and agents ("Defendant") in negligently, knowingly, and/or willfully contacting Plaintiff on Plaintiff's cellular telephone, in violation of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act, 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq., ("TCPA"), thereby invading Plaintiff's privacy. Plaintiff alleges as follows upon personal knowledge as to himself and her own acts and experiences, and, as to all other matters, upon information and belief, including investigation conducted by his attorneys.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 1. Jurisdiction is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(2) because Plaintiff seeks up to \$1,500 in damages for each call in violation of the TCPA, which, when aggregated among a proposed class number in the tens of thousands, exceeds the \$5,000,000 threshold for federal court jurisdiction. Further, Plaintiff alleges a national class, which will result in at least one class member belonging to a different state than that of Defendant. Therefore, both elements of diversity jurisdiction under the Class Action Fairness Act of 2005 ("CAFA") are present, and this Court has jurisdiction.
- Venue is proper in the United States District Court for the Southern District of California 2. pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1391(b) and 1441(a) because the events giving rise to Plaintiff's causes of action against Defendant occurred within the State of California and Defendants conducts business in the County of San Diego.

PARTIES

- 2. Plaintiff is, and at all times mentioned herein was, an individual citizen and resident of the State of California.
- 3. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that Defendant is, and at all times mentioned herein was, a corporation whose primary corporate address is 115 West College Drive, Marshall MN 56258. Defendant is, and at all times mentioned herein was, a corporation and a "person," as defined by 47 U.S.C. § 153 (10). Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that at all times relevant Defendant conducted business in the State of California and in the County of San Diego.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 3. On or about April 13, 2012, at approximately 12:03 p.m. Plaintiff received a telephone call on his cellular telephone from Defendant where Defendant used "an artificial or prerecorded voice" as prohibited by 47 U.S.C. § 227 (b)(1)(A).
- 4. The telephone number Defendant called was assigned to a cellular telephone service for which Plaintiff incurs a charge for incoming calls pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227 (b)(1).
- 5. This telephone call constituted a call that was not for emergency purposes as defined by 47 U.S.C. § 227 (b)(1)(A)(i).
- 6. Plaintiff did not provide express consent to Defendant to receive calls on Plaintiff's cellular telephone, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227 (b)(1)(A).
- 7. Plaintiff did not provide "prior express consent" to Defendant to place telephone calls to Plaintiff's cellular phone with an artificial or prerecorded voice as proscribed under 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(A).
- 8. This telephone call by Defendant was in violation of 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1).

CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

- 9. Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of himself and on behalf of and all others similarly situated ("the Class").
- 10. Plaintiff represents, and is a member of, the Class, consisting of all persons within the United States who received any telephone call from Defendant or its agent/s and/or employee/s to said person's cellular telephone made through the use of any automatic telephone dialing system or with an artificial or prerecorded voice, which call was not made for emergency purposes or with the recipient's prior express consent, within the four years prior to the filing of this Complaint.
- 11. Defendant and its employees or agents are excluded from the Class. Plaintiff does not know the number of members in the Class, but believes the Class members number in the tens of thousands, if not more. Thus, this matter should be certified as a Class action to assist in the expeditious litigation of this matter.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 12. Plaintiff and members of the Class were harmed by the acts of Defendant in at least the following ways: Defendant illegally contacted Plaintiff and the Class members via their cellular telephones thereby causing Plaintiff and the Class members to incur certain cellular telephone charges or reduce cellular telephone time for which Plaintiff and the Class members previously paid, by having to retrieve or administer messages left by Defendant during those illegal calls, and invading the privacy of said Plaintiff and the Class members. Plaintiff and the Class members were damaged thereby.
- This suit seeks only damages and injunctive relief for recovery of economic injury on 13. behalf of the Class and it expressly is not intended to request any recovery for personal injury and claims related thereto. Plaintiff reserves the right to expand the Class definition to seek recovery on behalf of additional persons as warranted as facts are learned in further investigation and discovery.
- 14. The joinder of the Class members is impractical and the disposition of their claims in the Class action will provide substantial benefits both to the parties and to the court. The Class can be identified through Defendant's records or Defendant's agents' records.
- 15. There is a well-defined community of interest in the questions of law and fact involved affecting the parties to be represented. The questions of law and fact to the Class predominate over questions which may affect individual Class members, including the following:
 - Whether, within the four years prior to the filing of this Complaint, Defendant made any call (other than a call made for emergency purposes or made with the prior express consent of the called party) to a Class member using any automatic telephone dialing system or an artificial or prerecorded voice to any telephone number assigned to a cellular telephone service.
 - Whether Plaintiff and the Class members were damaged thereby, and the extent of damages for such violation; and
 - Whether Defendant should be enjoined from engaging in such conduct in the future.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- 16. As a person that received numerous calls using an automatic telephone dialing system or an artificial or prerecorded voice, without Plaintiff's prior express consent, Plaintiff is asserting claims that are typical of the Class. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately represent and protect the interests of the Class in that Plaintiff has no interests antagonistic to any member of the Class.
- Plaintiff and the members of the Class have all suffered irreparable harm as a result of the 17. Defendant's unlawful and wrongful conduct. Absent a class action, the Class will continue to face the potential for irreparable harm. In addition, these violations of law will be allowed to proceed without remedy and Defendant will likely continue such illegal conduct. Because of the size of the individual Class member's claims, few, if any, Class members could afford to seek legal redress for the wrongs complained of herein.
- 18. Plaintiff has retained counsel experienced in handling class action claims and claims involving violations of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act.
- 19. A class action is a superior method for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy. Class-wide damages are essential to induce Defendant to comply with federal The interest of Class members in individually controlling the and California law. prosecution of separate claims against Defendant is small because the maximum statutory damages in an individual action for violation of privacy are minimal. Management of these claims is likely to present significantly fewer difficulties than those presented in many class claims.
- 20. Defendant has acted on grounds generally applicable to the Class, thereby making appropriate final injunctive relief and corresponding declaratory relief with respect to the Class as a whole.

24

//

25 //

26 //

27 //

28 //

//

//

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION NEGLIGENT VIOLATIONS OF THE TELEPHONE CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT 47 U.S.C. § 227 ET Seq.

- 21. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully stated herein.
- 22. The foregoing acts and omissions of Defendant constitute numerous and multiple negligent violations of the TCPA, including but not limited to each and every one of the above-cited provisions of 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq.
- 23. As a result of Defendant's negligent violations of 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq, Plaintiff and The Classes are entitled to an award of \$500.00 in statutory damages, for each and every violation, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B).
- 24. Plaintiff and the The Classes are also entitled to and seek injunctive relief prohibiting such conduct in the future.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION KNOWING AND/OR WILLFUL VIOLATIONS OF THE TELEPHONE CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT 47 U.S.C. § 227 ET SEQ.

- 25. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully stated herein.
- 26. The foregoing acts and omissions of Defendant constitute numerous and multiple knowing and/or willful violations of the TCPA, including but not limited to each and every one of the above-cited provisions of 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq.
- 27. As a result of Defendant's knowing and/or willful violations of 47 U.S.C. § 227 *et seq.*, Plaintiff and each of the The Classes are entitled to treble damages, as provided by statute, up to \$1,500.00, for each and every violation, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B) and 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(C).
- 28. Plaintiff and the The Classes are also entitled to and seek injunctive relief prohibiting such conduct in the future.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

Santa Ana, California

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Wherefore, Plaintiff respectfully requests the Court grant Plaintiff and The Class members the following relief against Defendant:

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION FOR NEGLIGENT VIOLATION OF THE TCPA, 47 U.S.C. § 227 ET SEQ.

- As a result of Defendant's negligent violations of 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1), Plaintiff seeks for himself and each Class and Subclass member \$500.00 in statutory damages, for each and every violation, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B).
- Pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(A), injunctive relief prohibiting such conduct in the future.
- Any other relief the Court may deem just and proper.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION FOR KNOWING AND/OR WILLFUL VIOLATION OF THE TCPA, 47 U.S.C. § 227 ET SEQ.

- As a result of Defendant's willful and/or knowing violations of 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1), Plaintiff seeks for himself and each Class and Subclass member treble damages, as provided by statute, up to \$1,500.00 for each and every violation, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B) and 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(C).
- Pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(A), injunctive relief prohibiting such conduct in the future.
- Any other relief the Court may deem just and proper.

TRIAL BY JURY

Pursuant to the seventh amendment to the Constitution of the United States of America, Plaintiffs are entitled to, and demand, a trial by jury.

Date: April 15, 2012 Kazerouni Law Group, APC

By: _/s Abbas Kazerounian _____ Abbas Kazerounian

HYDE & SWIGART

By: <u>/s Joshua B. Swigart</u> Joshua B. Swigart Attorneys for Plaintiff

Case 3:12-cv-00964-GPC-DHBC-DOCKING THE Filed 04/18/12 Page 8 of 8

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS			DEFENDANTS	DEFENDANTS		
Erik Knutson, Individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated				SCHWAN'S HOME SERVICE, INC.		
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant		
(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE LAND INVOLVED.		
(c) Attorney's (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)			Attorneys (If Known)	Attorneys (If Known)		
Hyde & Swigart	with Cuite 204 Can Dia	~~ CA 02400		'1:	2CV0964 CAB DHB	
II. BASIS OF JURISDIC	outh Suite 301, San Dieg		CITIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPAL PARTIES	Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff	
□ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	■ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Pa		(For Diversity Cases Only) PT itizen of This State □	F DEF	and One Box for Defendant) PTF DEF incipal Place	
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant			itizen of Another State	2		
	(a.a.a.a.a.a.a.a.a.a.a.a.a.a.a.a.a.a.a.	, in the second second	itizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3 🗖 3 Foreign Nation	□ 6 □ 6	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	(Place an "X" in One Box Only)		FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES	
□ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment □ 151 Medicare Act □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits □ 160 Stockholders' Suits □ 190 Other Contract □ 195 Contract Product Liability □ 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY □ 210 Land Condemnation □ 220 Foreclosure □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 240 Torts to Land □ 245 Tort Product Liability □ 290 All Other Real Property	310 Airplane	52 Personal Injury - Med. Malpractice 5 Personal Injury - Product Liability 8 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability 6 Other Fraud 1 Truth in Lending 0 Other Personal Property Damage 5 Property Damage 7 Product Liability ONER PETITIONS 0 Motions to Vacate Sentence Sentence Ubeas Corpus: 0 General 5 Death Penalty 0 Mandamus & Other 0 Civil Rights 5 Prison Condition	610 Agriculture 620 Other Food & Drug 625 Drug Related Seizure 67 of Property 21 USC 881 630 Liquor Laws 640 R.R. & Truck 650 Airline Regs. 660 Occupational Safety/Health 690 Other LABOR 710 Fair Labor Standards Act 720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations 730 Labor/Mgmt.Reporting & Disclosure Act 740 Railway Labor Act 790 Other Labor Litigation 791 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act MIMIGRATION 462 Naturalization Application 463 Habeas Corpus - Alien Detainee	□ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 □ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS □ 820 Copyrights □ 830 Patent □ 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY □ 861 HIA (1395ff) □ 862 Black Lung (923) □ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) □ 864 SSID Title XVI □ 865 RSI (405(g)) □ FEDERAL TAX SUITS □ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) □ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	□ 400 State Reapportionment □ 410 Antitrust □ 430 Banks and Banking □ 450 Commerce □ 460 Deportation □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and	
又 1 Original □ 2 Rem	Court Appell Cite the U.S. Civil Statute ur 47 U.S.C \$ 227 E1 Brief description of cause: Violation of the TCP CHECK IF THIS IS A CUNDER F.R.C.P. 23	ate Court R der which you are filin SEQ. 28 13 31 A CLASS ACTION 5,000		ll statutes unless diversity): n (ael)	if demanded in complaint:	
DATE 04/18/2012		GNATURE OF ATTORNI oshua B. Swigart		_		
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY RECEIPT # AMO	DUNT	APPLYING IFP	JUDGE	MAG. JUI	DGE	